

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,368	/690,368 10/16/2000		Tracey L. Jones	1005.11	3107
53953	7590	7590 07/03/2006		EXAMINER	
DAVIS LA		UP, P.C. F TEXAS HWY.	LUDWIG, MATTHEW J		
BUILDING			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, T	X 78759)		2178	
				DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			690,368	JONES ET AL.					
			niner	Art Unit					
			hew J. Ludwig	2178					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears o	on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nasions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AALLING DATE C of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir nunication. atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause t	OF THIS COMMUNI TO no event, however, may a To and will expire SIX (6) MOI The application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>12 April 20</u>	<u>06</u> .						
•		2b) ☐ This action							
3)	, —								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
4) 🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-10,13-18 and 21-51</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,4,11,12,19 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-10,13-18 and 21-51</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) 3,4,11,12,19,and 20 are su	ibject to restriction	on and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)☐ accepted	or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawin	g(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examine	er. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority								
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		n received in this Nationa	l Stage				
	application from the Internation	· ·							
- 8	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the	centiled copies no	t received.					
	,								
Attachmen			🗖 :						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or rr No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/18/06</u> .			Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/690,368 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed 4/12/2006.

- 2. Claims 1-45 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 3, 9, 11, 17, 19, 43, 44, and 45, are independent claims. Claims 3, 4, 11, 12, 19, and 20, have been withdrawn by applicant pursuant to Election by Original Presentation. Applicant added new claims 46-51.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 13-18, and 21-45 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuppusamy have been withdrawn pursuant to applicant's amendment.

Election/Restrictions

Election by Original Presentation

4. The submitted claims, 3, 4, 11, 12, 19, and 20, are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

In reference to independent claim 3, 11, and 19, the claims were amended and rewritten in independent form. The newly added claim language within the independent claim recites a means for query processing and searching which, when read as a whole, describes a different invention than what was originally presented. The claims are directed toward query formulation, input preparation, or translation, which fall into a separate class, 707/3 and 707/4, Data

Processing: Database and File Management or Data Structures. The claims would require undue burden on the examiner to search two distinct inventions. The applicant presented claims directed to an invention distinct from and independent of the invention previously claimed, the applicant will be required to restrict the claims to the invention previously claimed if the amendment is entered.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention,

The invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on
the merits. Accordingly, claims 3, 4, 11, 12, 19, and 20, are withdrawn from consideration as
being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 13-18, 21-45, and 46-51, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuppusamy et al., USPN 6,769,096 filed 6/24/1998 in view of Baird et al., USPN 6,992,687 filed (12/7/1999).

In reference to independent claim 1, Kuppusamy teaches:

Once the frameset is created, the contents (quarterly report) of both documents can be saved as HTML documents in an Internet browser-readable format (compare to "storing an electronic version of a paper, the version being displayable on a display device as a likeness of the paper"). See column 10, lines 8-16.

A heading is detected within the first location of the quarterly report, which provides a reference to a second location within the quarterly report (compare to "detecting a reference to a second location, wherein the detected reference is a phrase"). See column 7, lines 45-67.

The creation of the entries in the TOC document occurs once the selected headings are identified. A hyperlink entry is created in the TOC document for each selected heading (compare to "in response to the detected reference, embedding a hyperlink within the first location"). See column 8, lines 25-38.

The text of the entry into the TOC document may be displayed in a different color than ordinary text, or the text of the entry may be underlined to identify its linking function. In the screen display, the links are displayed as underlined text (compare to "when the first location is displayed on the display device, highlighting the first location to indicate the hyperlink as being selectable by a user to cause an operation associated with the second location"). See column 8, lines 48-60.

The examiner believes the first location is taught by quarterly report and the TOC document. The reference provides the two separate pieces of information related to each other in one display. Highlighting the first reference would equate to underlining/changing the color of the section name found within the quarterly report. The creation of the entries in the TOC document occurs once the selected headings are identified and indicate different locations within the document (compare to "detecting a reference to a second location"). See column 8, lines 25-38. The reference provides a quarterly report and the TOC document for each selected heading. The reference fails to explicitly state that the embedded hyperlink is found within the detected reference; however, Baird teaches a method of creating a page object in response to a selection signal. A visual indicator which is created to allow the user to access different parts of a document is displayed along with the desired part of the document. See column 5, lines 15-28. Furthermore, although the visual indicators depicted in Figures 3 and 4 are shown along the top

margin, they may be equally displayed virtually anywhere relative to the document being displayed. The reference teaches embedding links anywhere within documents. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Kuppusamy and Baird before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the embedded link methods taught by Kuppusamy to include the placement methods of Baird, because it would have given the user multiple destinations within a document to place links and allowed for a more organized display of embedded links.

In reference to dependent claim 2, Kuppusamy teaches:

A hyperlink entry is created in the TOC document for each selected heading. A hyperlink has two components: a link and an anchor. The link is a specially activated word, phrase or image in the TOC document that, when triggered, transfers the focus to the anchor in the target document. See column 8, lines 25-47. The reference describes two distinct sections or frames on a display device. The TOC includes hyperlinks that are associated with multiple sections of the target document (compare to "displaying a first selector associated with a first portion of the paper, displaying a second selector associated with a second portion of the paper"). See column 8, lines 25-47

In reference to dependent claim 4, Kuppusamy teaches:

The reference provides a hyperlink entry created in the TOC document for each selected heading. A hyperlink has two components: a link and an anchor. The link is a specially activated word, phrase, or image in the TOC document that, when triggered, transfers the focus to the anchor in the target document. See column 8, lines 25-47. The reference fails to explicitly disclose the second location external to the paper; however, the two separate documents (the

TOC and the target document) could be considered as providing a link to a document external to the target document.

In reference to dependent claim 5, Kuppusamy teaches:

Each entry is functional to cause the target document to scroll in the right frame until the heading corresponding to the entry comes in to view. See column 9, lines 42-46.

In reference to dependent claims 6 & 7, Kuppusamy fails to expressly teach a zoom function or an enlarged format, however, the Examiner takes Official Notice that the use of a zoom function and enlarging a format were well-known Microsoft Word options. The reference states the utilization of Microsoft Word, which includes several ways for viewing documents.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Microsoft Word's many features for viewing documents presented to a user on a display since it would provide the user with enhanced viewing capabilities.

In reference to dependent claim 8, Kuppusamy teaches:

The text of the entry may be displayed in a different color than ordinary text, or the text of the entry may be underlined to identify its linking function. In the screen display the links are displayed as underlined text. Alternatively, the link may be a graphical image rather than text. See column 8, lines 50-59.

In reference to dependent claims 9, 10, 13-16, 43, and 44 the limitations reflect the system comprising computer readable instructions used for performing the methods as claimed in 1, 2, and 5-8. Therefore, the claims are rejected under similar rationale.

In reference to dependent claims 17, 18, 21-24 and 45, the limitations reflect the computer program product comprising computer readable instructions used for performing the methods as claimed in 1, 2, and 5-8. Therefore, the claims are rejected under similar rationale.

In reference to dependent claim 25, Kuppusamy teaches:

A heading is detected within the first location of the quarterly report, which provides a reference to a second location within the quarterly report (compare to "detecting a reference to a second location, wherein the detected reference is a phrase"). See column 7, lines 45-67.

In reference to dependent claim 26, Kuppusamy teaches:

In the disclosed embodiment, the Heading have been assigned that particular style and are, therefore, identified as entries for the TOC document. See column 7, lines 55-67. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to assign a particular style to any text including a page number because it would have given the user a means of collecting and separating pages and sections of a document based on the style of a page number.

In reference to dependent claim 27 & 28, Kuppusamy teaches:

When the link at Entry is triggered, the focus of the target document will shift to an anchor located at "quarterly report.html#Executive Summary". See column 8, lines 55-67.

In reference to dependent claim 29, Kuppusamy teaches:

The creation of the entries in the TOC document occurs once the selected headings are identified. A hyperlink entry is created in the TOC document for each selected heading. A hyperlink has two components: a link and an anchor. See column 8, lines 25-45.

In reference to dependent claim 30, Kuppusamy teaches:

In the screen display, the links are displayed as underlined text. Alternatively, the link may be a graphical image rather than text. When the link is triggered, the focus of the target document will shift to an anchor located at "quarterly report". See column 8, lines 48-67.

In reference to claims 31-36, the limitations reflect the system comprising computer readable instructions used for performing the methods as claimed in 25-30, respectively. Therefore, the claims are rejected under similar rationale.

In reference to claims 37-42, the limitations reflect the computer program product comprising computer readable instructions used for performing the methods as claimed in 25-30, respectively. Therefore, the claims are rejected under similar rationale.

In reference to dependent claim 46, Kuppusamy teaches:

A TOC document is created within a frameset. An RD field could be inserted into the TOC document to identify the target document as the document to be linked to the TOC document. The TOC document allows searching based upon the headlines found within the detected reference. See column 13, lines 1-22.

In reference to dependent claim 47, Kuppusamy teaches:

Each entry is functional to cause the target document to scroll in the right frame until the heading corresponding to the entry comes in to view. See column 9, lines 10-45.

In reference to claims 48, and 49, the claims recite the system comprising instructions for carrying out the watch list methods found within claims 46 and 47. Therefore, the claims are rejected under similar rationale.

In reference to claims 50 and 51, the claims recite the computer program product for carrying out the watch list methods found within claims 46 and 47. Therefore, the claims are rejected under similar rationale.

Page 9

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues on pages 13 and 14 of the amendment that Kuppusamy teaches a target document and the TOC document, which are independent windows yet the claim states embedding a hyperlink within the detected reference. The newly formed claim language found within the independent claim changes the scope of the claim when the claim is read as whole. Therefore, the instantaneous rejections have been changed accordingly. The Baird reference was added to teach the placement of a link in different locations within the same detected reference. The creation of the entries in the TOC document occurs once the selected headings are identified and indicate different locations within the document. See column 8, lines 25-38. The reference provides a quarterly report and the TOC document for each selected heading. The reference fails to explicitly state that the embedded hyperlink is found within the detected reference; however, Baird teaches a method of creating a page object in response to a selection signal. A visual indicator which is created to allow the user to access different parts of a document is displayed along with the desired part of the document. See column 5, lines 15-28. Furthermore, although the visual indicators depicted in Figures 3 and 4 are shown along the top margin, they may be equally displayed virtually anywhere relative to the document being displayed. The reference

teaches embedding links anywhere within documents. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Kuppusamy and Baird before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the embedded link methods taught by Kuppusamy to include the placement methods of Baird, because it would have given the user multiple destinations within a document to place links and allowed for a more organized display of embedded links.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Ludwig whose telephone number is 571-272-4127. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/690,368

Art Unit: 2178

Page 11

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ML June 13, 2006

STEPHEN HONG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES